

United States Patent and Trademark Offici

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/732,903	12/10/2003	Jose Antonio Pascual Herrero	FER-15138	6884	
7609	7590 09/20/2005		. EXAMINER		
RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK, LLP 925 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 700			SAMPLE, DAVID R		
	D, OH 44115-1405		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1755		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/732,903	PASCUAL HERRERO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David Sample	1755				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DID INSIDE OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	ulv 2005.					
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	○ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) 7-12 is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)			

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Any rejections and/or objections, made in the previous Office Action, and not repeated below, are hereby withdrawn.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Objections

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 2 and 3 employ "comprising" language in contrast to claim 1 which employs "consisting essentially of" claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nigrin (US 4,282,035).

Examples 1, 2, 4-6, and 14-16 of Nigrin anticipate one or more of the glass frit compositions recited in claims 1-3 in view of the latitude in interpreting a range preceded by the word 'about'. <u>Id.</u>

Examples 1-6, 14-25 of Nigrin anticipate one or more of the glaze compositions recited in claims 4-6 in view of the latitude in interpreting the word 'about' in claims. See Table 1, col. 5.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants assert that the present claims preclude the addition of Li₂O to the glaze in amounts of over 0.5 wt%. This argument is not deemed persuasive. "Consisting essentially of" limits the claim to the recited ingredients and any ingredients that do not materially affect the novel or basic characteristics of the invention. See MPEP 2111.03. Applicants assert that paragraph [0003] shows that the more than 0.5 Li₂O would materially affect the novel or basic characteristics of the invention. This argument is not deemed persuasive because the examples relied upon for anticipation contain no Li₂O or 0.51 (i.e., 0.5 wt% to one significant figure) Li₂O.

In addition, claim 4 was not amended to use "consisting essentially of" claim language.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-12 are allowed. The prior art fails to disclose or suggest a method of applying a glaze to an architectural tile which employs a glass composition which "consists essentially of" the recited ingredients.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Sample whose telephone number is (571)272-1376. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (572)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Sample Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755